

Table 1. Overview of quantitative PPR features

Reported numbers of PPRs per cell				
PPRs/cell	PPR type	Organism	Method	Refs
10 ⁴	Proteorhodopsin	<i>Pelagibacter ubique</i>	Laser flash induced spectroscopy	[80]
2.4 × 10 ⁴	Proteorhodopsin	Uncultivated γ -proteobacterium EBAC31A08	Laser flash induced spectroscopy	[47]
4 × 10 ⁴	Proteorhodopsin	<i>Shewanella oneidensis</i> MR-1 (engineered)	Spectroscopy	[7]
5.22 × 10 ⁴ ± 3.07 × 10 ⁴	Proteorhodopsin	<i>Winogradskyella</i> sp. PG-2	Spectroscopy	[81]
Reported proton-pumping rates per PPR				
Proton-pumping rate (H ⁺ /PPR/min)	PPR type	Organism	Method	Refs
204	Bacteriorhodopsin	<i>Halobacterium salinarum</i>	Liposomes	[82]
124 ± 73	Proteorhodopsin	<i>Winogradskyella</i> sp. PG-2	Cells	[81]
30	Xanthorhodopsin (<i>Gloeobacter</i> rhodopsin)	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (engineered)	Spheroplast vesicles ^a	[83]
Estimate for a feasible PPR proton flux per cell				
Assumed PPRs per cell ^b	Assumed proton-pumping rate per PPR (H ⁺ /PPR/min) ^c		Estimated proton-pumping rate per cell	
10 ⁵	300		(H ⁺ /cell/min)	(mmol H ⁺ /g DW/h) ^d
			6.0 × 10 ⁷	10

^aFor this measurement, *Gloeobacter* rhodopsin was reconstituted with retinal, but without its antenna pigment, echinenone.

^bAn ~100% higher number of PPRs per cell than the highest value reported in literature for natural hosts is assumed to be feasible by PPR overexpression in, for example, *E. coli*.

^c50% higher proton flux per PPR than reported in literature is assumed to be feasible at high light intensities.

^dA cell dry weight (DW) of 3 × 10⁻¹³ g DW/cell is assumed for *E. coli* (<http://bionumbers.hms.harvard.edu/bionumber.aspx?id=103904&ver=16>).

- 7 Johnson, E.T. *et al.* (2010) Enhancement of survival and electricity production in an engineered bacterium by light-driven proton pumping. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 4123–4129
- 47 Béja, O. *et al.* (2001) Proteorhodopsin phototrophy in the ocean. *Nature* 411, 786–789
- 80 Giovannoni, S.J. *et al.* (2005) Proteorhodopsin in the ubiquitous marine bacterium SAR11. *Nature* 438, 82–85
- 81 Yoshizawa, S. *et al.* (2012) Diversity and functional analysis of proteorhodopsin in marine Flavobacteria. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14, 1240–1248
- 82 Mogi, T. *et al.* (1988) Aspartic acid substitutions affect proton translocation by bacteriorhodopsin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85, 4148–4152
- 83 Kawanabe, A. *et al.* (2009) Engineering an inward proton transport from a bacterial sensor rhodopsin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 16439–16444