

Table 1
Measured osteocyte network quantities used in calculations (human unless otherwise indicated).

| Description (abbreviation) | Value | Origin |
|---|--|---|
| Skeletal bone volume (BV) | $1.75 \times 10^6 \text{ mm}^3$ | [25; Table 1, Sec II.A.3] |
| Osteocyte lacuna density (N.Lc/BV) | $20,000\text{--}30,000 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ | [25,31–33] |
| Fraction of lacunae with live osteocyte (N.Ot/N.Lc) | 0.95 | [25,28] |
| Fraction of bone formed per day (remodelling rate, Rem.R) | 7.6%/year $364,384 \text{ mm}^3/\text{day}$ | [25] |
| Number of dendritic process per osteocyte (N.DP/Ot) | 89 | [24] |
| Radius of a canaliculus (Ca.Rd) | 157.5 nm | 129.5 nm (murine) [36]; 157.5 nm (human) [24] |
| Radius of a dendritic process (DP.Rd) | 73 nm | 50.2 nm (murine) [36]; 73 nm (human, calculated such that DP cross-section occupies 16% of canaliculus cross-section) |
| Pericellular/perilacunar gap (g) | 0.6 μm | 0.5–1 μm [37], 0.6 μm [22] |
| Dendritic length density (Tt.DP.L/BV) | 0.1 $\mu\text{m}/\mu\text{m}^3$ | 0.26 $\mu\text{m}/\mu\text{m}^3$ (chick calvaria) [18], 0.1 $\mu\text{m}/\mu\text{m}^3$ (based on Appendix A/text and data from [22]) |
| Lacuna volume (Lc.V) | $400 \mu\text{m}^3$ | [31,33] |
| Lacuna surface area (Lc.S) | $336.2 \mu\text{m}^2$ | [31] |
| Canalicular network mean node degree ($k + 1$) | 3.25 | (ovine) [22] |
| Canalicular network mean link length (l) | 2.15 μm | (ovine) [22] |
| Lacuna area control mice (2D section) | $38 \mu\text{m}^2$ | (murine) [56] |
| Lacuna area lactating mice (2D section) | $46 \mu\text{m}^2$ | (murine) [56] |
| Increase in canaliculus radius in lactating mice | 0.05 μm | (murine) [56] |

- [18] Sugawara Y, Kamioka H, Honjo T, Tezuka K, Takano-Yamamoto T. Three-dimensional reconstruction of chick calvarial osteocytes and their cell processes using confocal microscopy. *Bone* 2005;36:877–83.
- [22] Kerschnitzki M, Kollmannsberger P, Burghammer M, Duda GN, Weinkamer R, Wagermaier W, et al. Architecture of the osteocyte network correlates with bone material quality. *J Bone Miner Res* 2013;28:1837–45.
- [24] P. Varga, B. Hesse, M. Langer, S. Schrof, N. Männicke, H. Suhonen, et al., SuhonenSynchrotron X-ray phase nano-tomography-based analysis of the lacunar-canalicular network morphology and its relation to the strains experienced by osteocytes in situ as predicted by case-specific finite element analysisBiomech Model Mechanobiol. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10237-014-0601-9> (in press)
- [25] Parfitt AM. The physiologic and clinical significance of bone histomorphometric data. In: Recker RR, editor. *Bone histomorphometry: techniques and interpretation*. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press; 1983. p. 143–223.
- [28] Qiu S, Rao DS, Palnitkar S, Parfitt AM. Differences in osteocyte and lacunar density between Black and White American women. *Bone* 2006;38:130–5.
- [31] Dong P, Haupert S, Hesse B, Langer M, Gouttenoire PJ, Bousson V, et al. 3D osteocyte lacunar morphometric properties and distributions in human femoral cortical bone using synchrotron radiation micro-CT images. *Bone* 2014;60:172–85.
- [32] Bromage TG, Lacruz RS, Hogg R, Goldman HM, McFarlin SC, Warshaw J, et al. Lamellar bone is an incremental tissue reconciling enamel rhythms, body size, and organismal life history. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2009;84:388–404.
- [33] Carter Y, Thomas CD, Clement JG, Peele AG, Hannah K, Cooper DM. Variation in osteocyte lacunar morphology and density in the human femur—a synchrotron radiation micro-CT study. *Bone* 2013;52:126–32.
- [36] You LD, Weinbaum S, Cowin SC, Schaffler MB. Ultrastructure of the osteocyte process and its pericellular matrix. *Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol* 2004;278: 505–13.
- [37] McNamara LM, Majeska RJ, Weinbaum S, Friedrich V, Schaffler MB. Attachment of osteocyte cell processes to the bone matrix. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2009;292:355–63.
- [56] Qing H, Ardeshirpour L, Pajevic PD, Dusevich V, Jahn K, Kato S, et al. Demonstration of osteocytic perilacunar/canalicular remodeling in mice during lactation. *J Bone Miner Res* 2012;27:1018–29.